

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

THAYER JOSEPH BURTON, JR.,)

Petitioner,)

vs.)

BRIAN WILLIAMS, *et al.*,)

Respondents.)

2:16-cv-00521-APG-NJK

ORDER

On September 13, 2016, petitioner filed an amended petition for writ of habeas corpus in this action under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. ECF No. 15. Accordingly, the court shall schedule further proceedings.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that respondents shall file a response to the amended petition (ECF No. 15), including potentially a motion to dismiss, within **ninety (90) days** of the date of this order, with any requests for relief by petitioner by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under the local rules. **Any response filed shall comply with the remaining provisions below, which are entered pursuant to Habeas Rule 4.**

IT FURTHER IS ORDERED that any procedural defenses raised by respondents in this case shall be raised together in a single consolidated motion to dismiss. Procedural defenses omitted from such motion to dismiss will be subject to potential waiver. Respondents shall not file a response in this case that consolidates their procedural defenses, if any, with their response on the

1 merits, except pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254(b)(2) as to any unexhausted claims clearly lacking
2 merit. If respondents do seek dismissal of unexhausted claims under § 2254(b)(2): (a) they shall do
3 so within the single motion to dismiss **not** in the answer; and (b) they shall specifically direct their
4 argument to the standard for dismissal under § 2254(b)(2) set forth in *Cassett v. Stewart*, 406 F.3d
5 614, 623-24 (9th Cir. 2005). In short, no procedural defenses, including exhaustion, shall be
6 included with the merits in an answer. All procedural defenses, including exhaustion, instead must
7 be raised by motion to dismiss.

8 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that, in any answer filed on the merits, respondents shall
9 specifically cite to and address the applicable state court written decision and state court record
10 materials, if any, regarding each claim within the response as to that claim.

11 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that petitioner shall have **thirty (30) days** from service of the
12 answer, motion to dismiss, or other response to file a reply or opposition, with any other requests for
13 relief by respondents by motion otherwise being subject to the normal briefing schedule under the
14 local rules.

15 **IT FURTHER IS ORDERED** that any additional state court record exhibits filed herein by
16 either petitioner or respondents shall be filed with a separate index of exhibits identifying the
17 exhibits by number. The CM/ECF attachments that are filed further shall be identified by the
18 number or numbers of the exhibits in the attachment. The hard copy of any additional state court
19 record exhibits shall be forwarded – for this case – to the staff attorneys in **Reno**.

20 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that petitioner's motions for an extension of time within
21 which to file an amended petition (ECF Nos. 12 and 14) are GRANTED *nunc pro tunc* as of their
22 respective filing dates.

23 Dated this 11th day of October, 2016.

24 
25 _____
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE